

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	
10/585,736	07/12/2006	Kazuhiko Kishi	040894-7468	7925	
9629 MORGAN LE	7590 03/22/2010 WIS & BOCKIUS LLP	EXAMINER			
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			LOW, LINDSAY M		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/22/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/585,736	KISHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LINDSAY M. LOW	3721		

13	3721	
eet with the co	rrespondence addi	ess
DITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
ment, affidavit, on compliance wi	or other evidence, w th 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
from the mailing d	late of the final rejectio	n.
(D) WHEN THE F	IRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO
onding amount of od for reply origina	the fee. The appropria Ily set in the final Office	te extension fee action; or (2) as
1.37 must be file	ed within two months	of the date of
R 41.37(e)), to a	void dismissal of the	
arch (see NOTE	below);	
	9	
r of finally reject	ted claims.	
ice of Non-Comp	oliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
	•	
	e entered and an ex	planation of
s under appeal a presented. See	and/or appellant fails 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
claims after entr	y is below or attache	ed.
application in o	ondition for allowand	e because:
lo(s)		
	eet with the conditions of the condition	e of filing a Notice of Appeal will not hy the affidavit or other evidence is to ut prior to the date of filing a brief, w s under appeal and/or appellant fails presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) claims after entry is below or attache a application in condition for allowance

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3721

/Rinaldi I Rada/

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner asserts that it is inherent for Hazel to have a drive mechanism in order for the cams to rotate. The drive links 45 centainly drive the clincher cams, as rotation of the drive links causes rotation of the cams. In addition, legs 11 and 13 penetrate (pass through or into) a sheet 17, and a movable clincher 25, 29 engages the legs. A clincher cam 43 rotates the movable clincher. Therefore, Hazel's device is deemed capable of being used as a "staple" as defined by the claims.